arXiv:alg-geom/9505030v2 27 Sep 1995

How to tell you're hearing a Calabi-Yau: Universal variations of Hodge structure and local Schottky relations for Calabi-Yau manifolds

Z. Ran

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we give a canonical formula for the variation of Hodge structure associated to the m-th order universal deformation of an arbitrary compact Kähler manifold, this variation being viewed as a module over the base of the deformation. Second, we specialize to the case of a Calabi-Yau manifold X where we give a formula for the m-th differential of the period map of X and deduce formal defining equations for its image (Schottky relations); these are (necessarily infinite, in dimension ≥ 3) power series in the middle cohomology.

We will use the method of canonical infinitesimal deformations, developed by the author in earlier papers [R1, R2]. This method gives a canonical description of infinitesimal moduli spaces and, what's more, natural maps involving them. While it might be argued that a germ of a smooth space–such as the moduli of an unobstructed manifold–is a rather rigid featureless object, making a canonical description of it uninteresting, on the contrary *maps* involving such germs can be quite interesting; in the case of moduli, the method of canonical infinitesimal deformations provides a vehicle for studying such maps. For instance in the case at hand the n-th derivative of the period map of a Calabi-Yau n-fold X is a filtered map

$$T^n_X M \to H^n_{DR}(X)/F^n$$

whose associated gradeds $S^i H^1(T_X) \to H^{n-i,i}_X$ are the so-called Yukawa-Green forms (cf. [G]). We will develop cohomological formulas for this and other derivative maps (Theorem 3 below), which will allow us to determine their image and derive (Schottky) relations defining this image, essentially in terms of some generalized Yukawa-Green type forms (corollary 3.1 below). For $n = 2$ we recover the celebrated 'period quadric' of $K3$ surface theory; for $n \geq 3$ the relations seem to be new. For $n = 3$ the situation is particularly interesting assuming the 'mirror conjecture' because then the higher derivatives of the period map, here computed, are related-in fact, carry equivalent information to, the 'quantum cohomology' (esp. numbers of rational curves, etc.) of the mirror of X. We hope to return to this in greater detail elsewhere.

The present methods should be applicable in other Schottky-type problems: the case of curves is being developed by G. Liu (UCR dissertation, to appear).

This paper is a revised version of a manuscript entitled ‘Linear structure on Calabi-Yau moduli spaces’ (May 1993). We are grateful to Professors P. Deligne and M. Green for their enlightening comments .

1. Preliminaries.

1.1 Functors on S–Modules

In [R1] we showed how an Artin local $\mathbb{C}$–algebra may be reconstructed from a certain ‘order–symbolic’ or OS structure on the space of $\mathbb{C}$–valued differential operators on S. Our purpose here is to note an analogue of this for S–modules.

Now fix a local $\mathbb{C}$–algebra S with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $S/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{C}$ and put

$$B_0^i = D^i(S, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}(S_i, \mathbb{C}) = S_i^*, \qquad S_i = S/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1},$$

and

$$B^i = D_+^i(S, \mathbb{C}) = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1})^*.$$

For an S–module E, put

$$B^i(E) = B_0^i \otimes_S E,$$

where B_0^i is viewed as S–bi–module and E as (symmetric) S–bi–module. At least when $E \otimes S_i$ is S_i–free, $B^i(E)$ may be identified with the right S–module of differential operators $D^i(E^\vee, \mathbb{C})$, $E^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_S(E, S)$.

We have a symbol map

$$\sigma^i : B_0^i \to B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B_0^{i-1}$$

which factors through $F_i(B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{i-1})$, where F_i is the filtration induced by the order filtration on B^i, and this gives rise to a symbol map

$$\sigma_E^i : B^i(E) \to B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{i-1}(E),$$

which again factors through $F_i(B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{i-1}(E))$. These σ_E^i, $i \le m$, together with the obvious maps $B^0(E) \to B^1(E) \to \cdots \to B^m(E)$ are referred to as a ‘modular order–symbolic' (MOS) structure on $B^m(E)$. Note that $B^m(E)$ itself is a right S_m–module, called the m–th transpose of E.

"Dually," suppose we are given an MOS structure $G^\cdot$, G^i a right S_i–module. We then define an S_m–module $C^m(G^\cdot)$, called the module of quasi–scalar homomorphisms $B_0^m \to C^m(G^\cdot)$, inductively as follows.

$$C^0(G) = G^0$$

$$C^i(G) = \text{all right } S\text{–linear maps } \varphi^i : B_0^i \to G^i$$

such that for some $\varphi^{i-1} : B_0^{i-1} \to G^{i-1}$ the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd} B_0^{i-1} \arrow[r, "\varphi^{i-1}"] \arrow[d] & G^{i-1} \arrow[d] \\ B_0^i \arrow[r, "\varphi^i"] & G^i \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} B_0^i \arrow[r, "\varphi^i"] \arrow[d, "\sigma^i"'] & G^i \arrow[d, "\sigma_G^i"] \\ B^i \otimes B_0^{i-1} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi^{i-1}"] & B^i \otimes G^{i-1} \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that we have natural maps

$$E \to C^m(B^m(E)) \tag{1.1}$$

$$B^m(C^m(G^\cdot)) \to G^\cdot. \tag{1.2}$$

At least when E is S_m–free (resp. $G^\cdot$ is 'co–free', i.e. a sum of copies of B_0^m with the standard MOS structure), these are isomorphisms.

1.2 Derivatives.

For later use we want to give a more 'geometric' interpretation of $B^i(E)$, assuming E corresponds to a geometric vector bundle $V(E)$ over a pointed space $(M, 0)$. The $(i+1)$st tangent sapce $T_{0,0}^{i+1}(V(E))$ decomposes into components according to vertical degree (= homogeneity degree with respect to the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$ action), and $B^i(E)$ is just the component of vertical degree 1. This may be verified easily. Now suppose given a 'section', i.e. an element $e \in E$, corresponding to a geometric cross-section $s_e : M \to V(E)$ with value $e(0) = s_e(0) \in E(0)$, and also to a map $u : M \otimes \mathbb{C} \to V(E), u(x,t) = (x, ts_e)$. Then the natural map $B^i(e) : B^i \to B^i(E)$ may be identified as the degree 1 component of the composite

$$T^i M \xrightarrow{x\partial/\partial t} T^{i+1}(M \times \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{d_{(0,0)}^{i+1} u} T^{i+1}(V(E));$$

or, what is the same under the natural identification $T^{i+1}_{(0,)}(V(E)) \overset{\sim}{\to} T^{i+1}_{(0,e(0))}(V(E))$ given by translation by S_e, $B^i(e)$ is the vertical degree-1 component of the composite

$$T^i_0 M \xrightarrow{d^i s_e} T^i_{0,e(0)}(V(E)) \overset{Rx}{\to} T^{i+1}_{0,e(0)}(V(E))$$

where R is the 'Euler' vector field, corresponding to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Given a trivialisation $V(E) \overset{\sim}{\to} E(0) \times M$, corresponding to a splitting $\sigma : B^i(E) \to E(0)$, so that s_e is the graph of a function $f : M \to E$, the composite $\sigma . B^i(e) : B^i \to E(0)$ clearly coincides with the i-th differential of the function f, i.e. the map $d^i f : B^i = T^i M \xrightarrow{T^i(f)} T^i(E(0)) = \overset{i}{\underset{1}{\oplus}} S^i(E(0)) \to E(0)$.

1.3. Jacobi Complexes and Universal Deformations..

Fix a base space X which for convenience we assume to be a compact complex space (although the construction works more generally), and a sheaf of Lie algebras on X, such that $H^0(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. As in [R1], we have Jacobi complexes $J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g})$ which may be described as follows. Let $X{<}m{>}$ be the m–fold very symmetric product of X, i.e. the space of subsets of X of cardinality $\in [1, m]$, with the topology induced by the natural map $X^m \to X{<}m{>}$. For $i \le m$ let $\lambda^i(\mathfrak{g})$ be the image of the exterior alternating product of $\mathfrak{g}$, supported on $X{<}i{>} \subset X{<}m{>}$. Then the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to a map $\lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}) \to \lambda^{i-1}(\mathfrak{g})$, and these fit together to form the complex $J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g})$—

$$\lambda^m(\mathfrak{g}) \to \lambda^{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \ldots \to \lambda^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g},$$

in which we put $\lambda^i(\mathfrak{g})$ in degree $-i$. Similarly, the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on E gives rise to a complex $J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ on $X{<}m{>} \times X$—

$$\lambda^m(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes E \to \ldots \to \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes E \to E,$$

in degrees $\in [-m, 0]$, where the last term E is supported on the diagonal in $X{<}1{>} \times X = X \times X$. The natural maps

$$J^{\cdot}_i(\mathfrak{g}) \to J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}), \qquad i \le m,$$

$$J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}) \to F_m(J^{\cdot}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes J^{\cdot}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

give rise to an OS structure on $V_m = \mathbb{H}^0(J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}))$ which, as in [R1], yields a $\mathbb{C}$–algebra structure on $R_m = \mathbb{C} \oplus V^*_m$. Similarly,

$$C^m = \mathbb{R}^0 (J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E))$$

Forms a sheaf of R_m–modules, and the natural map

$$J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E) \to F_m(J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes J^{\cdot}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}, E))$$

endows G^m with a MOS structure compatible with the OS structure on V^m, whence as in § 1.2 a R_m-module

$$C^m = C^m(G^m).$$

In case E itself is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on which $\mathfrak{g}$ acts by derivations (or more generally a graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on which $\mathfrak{g}$ acts by graded derivations), we have a multiplication map

$$S^2E \to E$$

letting $\mathfrak{g}$ act in the obvious way on S^2E, this map is clearly $\mathfrak{g}$-linear, hence extends to a map of Jacobi complexes

$$J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, S^2E) \to J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E).$$

Combined with the natural map $\sigma^2(J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E) \to J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, S^2E)$, this gives rise to a multiplicative structure on $R^0p_2^*J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)$, whence a structure of R_m-algebra on C^m.

Note also that $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ has a natural structure of (differential graded) Lie algebra, and the image of $J^{\cdot}_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ on $X < m+1 >$ may be identified with a direct summand of $J^{\cdot}_{m+1}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus E)$.

2. Universal variations of Hodge structure.

Fix a compact complex manifold X with holomorphic tangent sheaf $\Theta = \Theta_X$. Note that Θ acts or $\mathcal{O}_X$ and more generally on the holomorphic De Rham complex $\Omega^{\cdot}_X$ by Lie derivative, so that the above constructions are applicable. Our purpose is to apply them to give a description of the universal m-th order variation of Hodge structure of X, viewed as a module over the base of the m-th order universal deformation of X. We begin with a description of this deformation, essentially implicit in [R1].

Theorem 1. *Assuming* $H^0(\Theta) = 0$*, the universal* m*-th order deformation* X_m/R_m *of* X *and its relative DeRham complex* $\Omega^{\cdot}$ *are given by*

$$R_m = \text{ algebra associated to the } \ OS \tag{2.1}$$
$$\text{structure on } \ \mathbb{H}^0(J^{\cdot}_m(\Theta))$$

$$\Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m} = \quad C^m_{R_m}(\ \mathcal{R}^{0,\cdot}p_{2*}(J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X)) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{0,\cdot}$ means hyperderived image in the vertical $(J^{\cdot})$ variable.

Proof. (2.1) is proven in [R1], where a construction for $\mathcal{O}_{X_m}$ is given, and it is straightforward matter to check that this coincides with (2.2) for $\cdot = 0$. For $\cdot = 1$, consider the Θ-linear derivation $d : \mathcal{O}_X \to \Omega^1_X$. By functoriality this yields an R_m-linear derivation $\mathcal{O}_{X_m} \to C^m_{R_m}(R^0_{p_{2*}}(J^{\cdot}_m\Theta, \Omega^1_X))$, hence an $\mathcal{O}_{X_m}$-linear map $\Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m} \to C^m_{R_m}(R^0_{p_{2*}}(J^{\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^1_X))$, and by checking on gradeds with respect to the m-adic filtration on R_m we see that this is an isomorphism. The case of the rest of $\Omega^{\cdot}$ now follows easily.

Now we take up variations of Hodge structure. The R_m-module $H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m) := \mathbb{H}^r(X, \Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m})$ is endowed with a (Hodge) filtration $F^{\cdot}$, induced by the stupid filtration on $\Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m}$. At least when X is Kähler, so that $H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m)$ is R_m-free by Deligne [D], the pair $(H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m), F^{\cdot})$ may be called the universal m-th order variation of Hodge structure associated to X. We will give a formula for it, together with (something equivalent to) it Gauss-Manin correction, in terms of X itself.

First, for a sheaf or complex A on a product $Y_1 \times Y_2$, we denote by ${}_iG.H^{\cdot}(A), i = 1, 2$, the increasing filtration on $H^{\cdot}(A)$ associated to the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Y_i, R^q p_{i*}A) \Rightarrow H^{\cdot}(Y_1 \times Y_2, A),$$

and set

$${}_iH^{r,s}(A) = \mathrm{gr}^r(H^{r+s}(A), {}_iG.),$$

which may be called the generalised r-th Kunneth component of $H^{r+s}(A)$. Note the natural map

$$\varphi : {}_1H^{r,0}(A) \to H^r(A) \to {}_2H^{0,r}(A). \tag{2.3}$$

When $A = A_1 \boxtimes A_2$ this is clearly an isomorphism by Kunneth. Note also that when A is a complex, the stupid or Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(A)$ induces one on ${}_i\mathbb{H}^{r,s}(A)$.

Theorem 2. *Let X_m/R_m be the universal m-th order deformation of a compact Kähler manifold X with $H^0(\Theta_X) = 0$.Then*

(i) we have Hodge filtration-preserving R_m-linear isomorphism

$$B^m_{R_m} H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m) \cong {}_1\mathbb{H}^{r,0}(X < m, 1 >, J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X)) \tag{2.4}$$

$$\cong {}_2\mathbb{H}^{0,r}(X < m, 1 >, J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X))$$

$$H^r_{DR}(X_m, R_m) \simeq C^m_{R_m}({}_1\mathbb{H}^{r,0}(X < m, 1 >, J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X)) \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) We have a Gauss-Manin isomorphisms

$$GM : H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r_{DR}(X) \otimes R_m$$

$$B^m H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r_{DR}(X) \otimes B^m_0.$$

which shifts the Hodge filtration by m. (We call the resulting projection $\overline{GM} : B^m H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m) \to H^r_{DR}(X)$ the Gauss-Manin projection).

Proof. As $H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m)$ is R_m-free, the isomorphisms (1.1), (1.2) show that (2.4) and (2.5) are mutually equivalent. By the Poincaré lemma we have a Θ-linear quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C} \sim \Omega^{\cdot}_X$$

where Θ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X) \sim J^{\cdot}_m(\Theta) \boxtimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}_X \tag{2.6}$$

where $X = \Delta_X \subset X < 1 > \times X \subset X < m_1 1 >$. This implies degeneration of the Leray spectral sequences for $J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot})$ with respect to both projections p_1 and p_2, and that the map φ is (2.3)–which clearly respects Hodge filtrations is an isomorphism. Also, from p_2 we get an isomorphism

$${}_1\mathbb{H}^{r,0}(J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta), \Omega^{\cdot})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes B^m_0 = H^r(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}^0(X < m >, J^{\cdot}_m(\Theta)).$$

On the other hand in view of Theorem 1 we get via p_2 an isomorphism

$${}_2\mathbb{H}^{0,r}(J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta), \Omega^{\cdot}_X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^r(X, B^m(\Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m})).$$

As $\Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m}$ is R_m-flat, the latter may be identified with $B^m\mathbb{H}^r(X, \Omega^{\cdot}_{X_m/R_m}) = B^m H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m)$, which completes the proof of (i).

The proof of (ii) is based on the Cartan formula for the Lie derivative of differential forms

$$L_v = i_v \circ d + d \circ i_v \qquad i_v = \text{ interior multiplication.}$$

Let $\Omega^{\cdot}_{X,\ \mathrm{triv}}$ be $\Omega^{\cdot}_X$ with the trivial action of Θ and define a map

$$\text{(2.7)} \qquad \begin{gathered} M : tot(J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X)) \to tot(J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_{X,\ \mathrm{triv}})) \\ M^{i,j,k} : \lambda^j \Theta \boxtimes \Omega^i_X \to \lambda^{j-k}\Theta \boxtimes \Omega^{i-k} \end{gathered}$$

$$M^{i,j,k}(v_1 \times \cdots \times v_j \times \omega) = \sum_{r_1 < \cdots < r_k} (-1)^{\sum r_s} v_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{v}_{r_1} \cdots \times \hat{v}_{r_k} \times \cdots \times v_j \times i_{v_{r_1} \cdots v_{r_k}}(\omega)$$

The Cartan formula implies that (with proper choice of signs) $Id \oplus M$ is a morphism of complexes, hence yields a map

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1\mathbb{H}^{r,0}(X < m, 1 >, J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_X)) &\to {}_1\mathbb{H}^{r,0}(X < m, 1 >, J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot}_{X,\ \mathrm{triv}})) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{H}^r(\Omega^{\cdot}_X) \otimes B^m_0 \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of the quasi-isomorphism (2.6) is an isomorphism, and obviously it shifts the Hodge filtration by m. Applying the C^m functor then concludes the proof.

Remark that $\Theta \oplus \Omega^{\cdot}_X$ has a structure of (bidifferential bigraded) Lie algebra and the image of $J^{\cdot\cdot}_m(\Theta, \Omega^{\cdot})$ on $X < m+1 >$ may be identified with a direct summand of $J^{\cdot\cdot}_{m+1}(\Theta \oplus \Omega^{\cdot})$. Correspondingly $B^m H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m)$ may be identified with a direct summand of $\mathbb{H}^{0,r}(J^{\cdot\cdot}_{m+1}(\Theta \oplus \Omega^{\cdot})) = T^{m+1}(H^r)$ where H^r is the cohomology bundle $H^r_{DR}(X_m/R_m)$ viewed as a geometric vector bundle over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_m)$ (cf. 1.1)

3. The Calabi-Yau case.

We will now apply the foregoing methods to study the period map for (the n-form on) Calabi-Yau manifolds. So let X be Calabi-Yau, i.e. X is an n-dimensional compact Kähler manifolds with a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic n-form Φ (which is then unique up to isomorphism); we will also assume X admits no holomorphic vector fields, i.e. $H^0(\Theta_X) = 0$. The pair (X, Φ) may be called a *measured* Calabi-Yau manifold (MCYM). An isomorphism between two MCYM's (X, Φ) and (X', Φ') in an isomorphism $f : X \to X'$ with $f^*\Phi' = \Phi$. Thus the Lie algebra sheaf of infinitesimal automorphisms of a MCYM may be identified as the subsheaf $\hat{\Theta} \subset \Theta_X$ of *divergence-free* vector fields, i.e. those annihilating Φ via Lie derivative. As before, we have universal m-th order deformations X_m/R_m of X and $(X_m/\hat{R}_m, \Phi_m)$ of (X, Φ), where R_m (resp. $\hat{R}_m$) is the algebra associated to the OS structure $\mathbb{H}^0(J^{\cdot}_m(\Theta))$ (resp. $\mathbb{H}^0(J^{\cdot}_m(\hat{\Theta}))$ (in fact, $\hat{R}_m$ is just the obvious "m-th order" quotient of $R_m[t]$). The period space for (X, Φ) is just the vector space $H = H^n_{DR}(X)$ (while for X it would be the projectivisation $\mathbb{P}(H)$). The m-th order germ of the period map yields a ring homomorphism

$$p^*_m : S^{\cdot}[H] \to \hat{R}_m.$$

Of course p^*_m is determined by its restriction p^{1*}_m on H, hence by the dual

$$p^1_m : \hat{T}^{(m)} \to H = H^*.$$

Our purpose is to give a cohomological formula for p^1_m. To this end let's replace the DeRham complex $\Omega^{\cdot}_X$ by its quasi-isomorphic subcomplex $\Omega^{\cdot}_{X,0}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\cdot}_{X,0} &= \Omega^i_X \quad i \le n-2 \\ &= \hat{\Omega}^{n-1}_X \quad i = n-1 \\ &= 0 \quad i = n. \end{aligned}$$

Define a map $j^{\cdot}_m : J^{\cdot}_m(\hat{\Theta}) \to \Omega^{\cdot}_{X,0}[n]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} j^k_m(v_1 \times \cdots \times v_k) &= i_{v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k}\Phi \quad v_1 \times \cdots \times v_k \in \lambda^k \hat{\Theta} = J^{-k}_m(\hat{\Theta}) \\ &= 0 \quad k \ge n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *$j^{\cdot}_m$ is a morphism of complexes and the associated cohomology map $\mathbb{H}^0(j^{\cdot}_m) : \hat{T}^m \to H$ coincides with p^1_m.*

Proof. We begin with a geometric description of the period map p. Consider the germ $\hat{M}$ of the moduli for the MCYM (X, Φ). Over $\hat{M}$ we have the cohomology bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^n$, and the Gauss-Manin isomorphism

$$GM : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{M} \times H$$

and the Gauss-Manin projection $\overline{GM} : \mathcal{H} \to H$. Now the 'tautological' section Φ yields a cross section $[\Phi] : \hat{M} \to \mathcal{H}$

In terms of these, the period map p is simply given by

$$p = \overline{GM} \cdot [\Phi] : \hat{M} \to H. \tag{3.0}$$

On m-th order tangent spaces we get a map

$$p_m = T^m(p) : \hat{T}^m = T^m(\hat{M}) \to T^m H = \overset{m}{\underset{1}{\oplus}} S^i(H).$$

Being a morphism of OS structures, $p_m = \oplus p_m^i$ is determined by $p_m^1 = dp^m$. As in §1.2, we have

$$p_m^1 = \overline{GM} \circ B^m(\Phi),$$

where $B^m(\Phi) : \hat{T}^m \to B^m(\mathcal{H})$ is the map corresponding to Φ. Clearly $B^m(\Phi)$ is the map on $\mathbb{H}^0$ corresponding to the morphism of complexes

$$u_m^\cdot : J_m^\cdot(\hat{\Theta}) \to J_m^\cdot(\Theta, \Omega_X^n) \hookrightarrow J_m^{\cdot\cdot}(\hat{\Theta}, \Omega_X^\cdot)$$

given by $v_1 \times \cdots \times v_m \mapsto v_1 \times \cdots \times v_m \times \Phi$ (this is compatible with differentials because $L_{v_i}\Phi = 0$). On the other hand $\overline{GM}$ is given by $M^{\cdot,j,j}$ as in (2.7) and clearly $M^{n,j,j} \circ u_m^j$, factors through $\Omega_{X,0}^j$ and coincides with j_m^j, hence $p_m^1 = \mathbb{H}^0(j_m^\cdot)$ as claimed.

Let us now consider consequences of Theorem 3. Denote by $\sigma^m(\Omega_{X,0}^\cdot[n])$ the m-th exterior signed-symmetric tensor power of $\Omega_{X,0}^\cdot[n]$, as complex on $X < m >$, and set $\sigma^{m]}(\Omega_{X,0}^\cdot[n]) = \overset{m}{\underset{0}{\oplus}} \sigma^i(\Omega_{X,0}^\cdot[n])$ note that $j_m^\cdot$ naturally extends to a morphism

$$\sigma^{m]}(j_m^\cdot) : J_m^\cdot(\Theta) \to \sigma^{m]}(\Omega_{X,0}^\cdot[n])$$

(similarly given by interior multiplication by Φ). As p_m is a morphism of OS structures, it clearly follows from Theorem 3 that:

Corollary 3.1. *We have* $p_m = \mathbb{H}^0(\sigma^{m]}(j_m^\cdot)) : \hat{T}^m \to S^{m]}(H)$.

Now let us endow H with the modification of the Hodge filtration given by setting $F_+^1 = H, F_+^\cdot = F^\cdot$ otherwise. This filtration then induces one on $S^\cdot(H)$ making p_m^* a filtered homomorphism inducing an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{gr}^1 \; S^\cdot(H) = H/F^2H = (F^{n-1}H)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}^1(\hat{P}\;\;) = \hat{T}^*$$

Note the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{T}^m \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p_m"'] & F^m(S^2(\hat{T}^{m-1})) \arrow[d, "S^2(p_{m-1})"] \\
\overset{m}{\underset{1}{\oplus}} S^i(H) \arrow[r] & F^m(S^2(\overset{m-1}{\underset{1}{\oplus}} S^i(H)))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

(horizontal maps being symbol or comultiplication maps). It is essentially the commutativity of (3.1) and its dual that translate into equations for the image of p_m: consider the following set of Yukawa-type elements

$$Y_n = \Big\{ a - b \cdot c \in S^{\cdot}(H) : a \in H, b \cdot c \in \overset{n}{\underset{1}{\oplus}} S^{\cdot}(H), \\ p_n^{1*}(a) = p_{n-1}^*(b) \cdot p_{n-1}^*(c) \Big\}$$

Let $S_m = S^{\cdot}(H)/F^{m+1}S^{\cdot}(H)$ be the m-th quotient of the filtered ring $S^{\cdot}(H)$ and $S^m = \ker(S_m \to S_{m-1})$ the m-th graded piece. Let I_m (resp. K_m) be the kernel of the natural surjection $S_m \to \hat{R}_m$ (resp. $S^m \to S^m(\hat{T}^*)$) induced by p_m^*. By induction on $m \geq n$, we proceed to define lifts $Y_m \subseteq I_m$ of Y_n. given $y \in Y_m$, start with an arbitrary lift $y' \in S_{m+1}$. As $p_{m+1}^*(y') \in S^{m+1}(\hat{T}^*) \subset \hat{R}_{m+1}$, there is an uniquely determined element

$$z = z(y') \in S^{m+1}(gr^1_{F^{\cdot}_+}(H)) \subseteq S^{m+1} \subset S_{m+1}$$

such that $p_{m+1}^*(z) = p_{m=1}^*(y')$, and we let $Y_{m+1} = \{y' - z(y') : y \in Y_m\}$.

Note on the other hand that K_m may be easily written explicitly in terms of the Yukawa forms $\eta^i : S^i\hat{T} \to H^{n-i,i}$, which formally determine the map $p^m = gr^m p_m^* : S^m \to S^m(\hat{T}^*)$. In fact it is clear as in the above that K_m is generated by elements of the form $y - z$ where $y \in S^m, z \in S^m(gr^1(H)^*), p^m(y) = p^m(z)$. In particular, it follows easily that for $m \geq n, K_m$ is generated by K_n, i.e. $K_m = F_+^{n-m} S_m \cdot K_n$.

Corollary 3.2. *For all $m \geq n$ Y_n constitutes a complete set of defining equations for the image of the m-th order period map, i.e. p_m^* induces an isomorphism*

$$S_m/(K_m + < Y_m >) \simeq \hat{R}_m.$$

Proof. Let us denote the LHS by A_m. As p_m^* is compatible with the $F_+^{\cdot}$ and m-adic filtrations, it would suffice to prove that $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} p_m^* : \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} A_m \to \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} \hat{R}_m = \overset{m}{\underset{0}{\oplus}} S^i\hat{T}$ is an isomorphism. For gr^1 this is clear. Consequently, it is easy to see that it would suffice to prove that the evident map $S^jH \to \mathrm{gr}^i A_m$ is surjective. e.g. for $j = 2$ a typical element $a \in \mathrm{gr}^2 A_m$ may be represented by $a_1 + a_2, a_1 \in F^2H, a_2 \in S^2H$. Clearly $p_m^*(a_1) \in m^2_{\hat{R}_m}$ so can be written as $p_m^*(b) \cdot p_m^*(c), b, c \in H$, so in $\mathrm{gr}^2 A_m$ we have $a = a_2 + bc \in \mathrm{im} S^2H$. The case of general j follows similarly.

Letting $\hat{S} = \lim\limits_{\leftarrow} S_m, \hat{\hat{R}} = \lim\limits_{\leftarrow} R_m$, we conclude

$$\hat{S}/ < Y_m > \cong \hat{\hat{R}}.$$

Since the above isomorphism is homogeneous with respect to scaling the n-form Φ, i.e. is compatible with $F^1H \subset H, R_m \subset R_m$, a similar assertion can be made about the germ $M \subset \mathbb{P}(H)$.

With a slightly more analytic approach, the above construction of Schottky relations may be made more explicit. Firstly, we may split the Hodge filtration, e.g. with the Hodge decomposition $H = \oplus H^{n-i,i}$. Then setting

$$H_+^{n-1,1} = H^{n,0} \oplus H^{n-1,1}, H_*^{1,n-1} = (H_+^{n-1,1})^* = H^{0,n} + H^{1,n-1}$$

we get a splitting of $F_+^{\cdot}$ and of the dual filtration $F_*^{\cdot}$ on $H = H^*$. Assigning weight 1 (resp. i) to $H_*^{1,n-1}$ (resp. $H^{i,n-i}, i \geq 2$) then induces a graded ring structure $B^{\cdot}$ on $B = S^{\cdot}(H)$, i.e.

$$B^{\cdot} = \mathbb{C} \oplus H_*^{1,n-1} \oplus (S^2(H_*^{1,n-1}) \oplus H^{2,n-2}) \oplus ...$$

Note that the graded subring $B_1^{m]} = S^{\leq m}(H_*^{1,n-1}) \subseteq B^{m]}$ is mapped by p_m^* isomorphically onto $\hat{R}_m$, and that $B_1^{\cdot}$ may be viewed as part of the $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology of $S^{\cdot}_{\mathbb{C}}(A^{\cdot,\cdot}_X)$.

Now identifying $B^{m]} = \hat{R}_m$, the associated graded $gr^i(p_m^*)$ (which is independent of $m \geq i$) is given by the 'dual Yukawa map' η^{i*}, i.e. corresponds to a map given on the form level by

$$\eta^{i*} : A^{i,n-i} \simeq A^{n-i,i*} \longrightarrow S^i(A^{n-1,1})^* \simeq S^i(A^{1,n-1}), i \geq 2$$

$$\eta^{1*} = \mathrm{id}$$

(recall that η^i itself is given on the form level by

$$\eta^i(\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_i) = \text{skew symmetrization of } i(\alpha_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_i))$$

$\Phi^{-1} \in H^0(\Lambda^n(\Theta))$ being the dual of Φ; η^{i*} on the other hand appears to be a somewhat 'deeper' object and doesn't seem to be given by such a simple purely local expression).

Now to construct Schottky relations, i.e. a basis for $I_m = \ker(S^{m]} \longrightarrow \hat{R}_m)$, we proceed inductively. As $K_m = I_m \cap S^m$ may be assumed known, it would suffice to describe a canonical lift of any $y \in I_m$ to I_{m+1}. We may assume y has no component in $H^{0,n}$. On the form level we may write

$$\eta^*(y) = \bar{\partial}(f) = \sum_{\alpha} \bar{\partial} f_1^\alpha \otimes f_2^\alpha \otimes ... \otimes f_{m_\alpha}^\alpha,$$

where $f_1^\alpha \in A^{1,n-1}, f_j^\alpha \in A^{1,n-1}, j \geq 2, 1 \leq m_\alpha \leq m$, and the expression $f = \Sigma\Pi f_i^\alpha$ may be written in term of y via a suitable Green's operator. We may then define a polynomial $q_y = \sum q_y^\alpha$ on $\hat{T}$ by the formula

$$q_y^\alpha(u_0, ..., u_{m_\alpha+1}) = \text{u-symmetrisation of} \quad (\int_X [u_0, u_1] f_1^\alpha)(\int_X u_2 f_2^\alpha)...(\int_X u_{m_\alpha} f_{m_\alpha}^\alpha)$$

where $u_i \in \hat{T}$,

$$[u_i, u_j] = \partial\eta(u_i, u_j) \in A^{n-1,2}$$

($[.,.]$ being the map induced by the Lie bracket , and the latter equality being a form of the 'Tian-Todorov Lemma'). Then q_y corresponds to an element $z_y \in B_1^{m+1]}$ and we set the lift of y as

$$y' = y - z_y \in I_{m+1}.$$

Remarks 1. For $n = 2$, the construction may be simplified and refined. Indeed it easy to write down a subcomplex $K^\cdot \subseteq \sigma^\cdot(\Omega^\cdot_{X,0}[n])$ which contains- and indeed coincides with- the image of $\sigma^\cdot(j^\cdot)$: e.g. $K^{-2} = \left\{(a, b \times c) \in \mathcal{O} \times \lambda^2(\Omega^1_{X,0}) : a\Phi = b \wedge c\right\}$, etc. and we have

$$\mathbb{H}^0(K^{\geq -m})^* \quad S^\cdot(H)/(\Phi \quad q)$$

where $q \in S^2(H)$ is the canonical quadratic form . Clearly then p_m^* factors through an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^0(K^{\geq -m})^* \simeq \hat{R}_m.$$

Thus in this case there is a single quadratic Schottky relation $\Phi - q$, i.e. the familiar 'period quadric' of K3 surface theory.

2. Identifying $\hat{R}_m = B_1^{m]}$, the period map $B^{m]} \longrightarrow B_1^{m]}$ fails to respect the respective gradings, and it is precisely this failure that, for $n = 3$, is supposed to be related to the quantum cohomology of the mirror manifold.

References.

[D] Deligne, P.: Théoréme de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales'. Publ. Math. IHES **35** (1968), 197–226.

[G] Griffiths, P.: 'Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry' Ann. of Math. Studies.

[R1] Ran, Z.: 'Canonical infinitesimal deformations' (preprint).

[R2] _______ 'On the local geometry of moduli spaces of vector bundles (preprint).